

Sean Cox

Γ a class of posets

$FA^{+\omega_1}(\Gamma)$: $\forall Q \in \Gamma \ \forall \gamma_1$ nice

con. of den sets, \mathcal{D} , + ex. of

($S_i : i < \omega_1$) of \mathbb{Q} -names for nat. num

of ω_1 , then there is a filter \mathcal{J} ,

$\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset \ \forall D \in \mathcal{D}$, and

$\dot{S}^{\mathcal{J}} = \{\alpha < \omega_1 : \exists p \in \mathcal{J} \text{ s.t. } \dot{\alpha} \in \dot{S}\}$ stan.

f.a. $\dot{S} = S_i$.

lem. Let P be nice. assume $FA^{+\omega_1}(\Gamma)$.

an P wi: f.a. P -names \dot{Q} for an ext. of Γ then ex. $P * \dot{Q}$ name \dot{R} s.t.

① $P * \dot{Q} * \dot{R} \in P$, $\frac{H}{P * \dot{Q}}$ \dot{R} is stan.

sit pres.

② when $j: V \rightarrow N$ is a gen.

elementary embedding with $cond(j) = \omega_2$

$H_\theta^V \in wfp(N)$, $j \upharpoonright H_\theta^V \in N$ + $|H_\theta^V|^N = \omega_1 +$

and the ex. a V-je. from \mathbb{R}

$G * H * K \in N$ s.t. all stat. subsets
 γ_{w_1} in $V[G * H * K]$ are stat. in N
 then $j[G]$ be a lower bound in $j(\mathbb{P})$.

then $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models FA^{+w_1}(\dot{\gamma})$.

defn. (foreman-todorcic)

class

$IA = \text{set of } W \text{ of are } \aleph_1 \text{ s.t. there}$
 $\text{is a } \underline{\text{filtration of } W} \text{ (i.e., a cont.}$
 $\subset \text{char. } \bar{N} = (N_i : i < \omega_1) \text{ with union } W \not\models$
 $\text{s.t. ex } (N_i : i < \alpha) \in W, \alpha < \omega_1$.

$IC = \text{clan of } W \text{ of are } \aleph_1 \text{ s.t.}$

$W \cap [W]^\omega$ contains a club

$IS = \dots W \cap [W]^\omega$ is stationary.

$IU = \text{clan of } W \text{ of are } \aleph_1 \text{ s.t.}$

$W \cap [W]^\omega$ is \subset -codial in $[W]^\omega$

$IA \subset IC \subset IS \subset IU$.

strict under

PFA

strict

under M_N, PFA^+
 (not PFA')

strict under MM (not under
 PFA^{+w_1}).

fact. as $2^{\aleph_1} = \aleph_2$. TFAE.

- (1) approachability property fails at ω_2 , i.e., $\omega_2 \notin I[\omega_2]$.
- (2) the inclusion $IA \subset IU$ is strict in $P_{\omega_2}(H_{\omega_2})$, i.e., there are stat. many $w \in IU \setminus IA$ in $P_{\omega_2}(H_{\omega_2})$.
- (i.e., at least one of the 3 inclusions is strict).

stationary reflection.

$RP_{IC} \equiv$ for every reg. $\theta \geq \omega_2$ + ev stat. $S \subset [\theta]^\omega$, there is some $w \in IC$ s.t.

$S \cap [w]^\omega$ is stat.

clearly,

$$RP_{IA} \Rightarrow RP_{IC} \Rightarrow RP_{IS} \Rightarrow RP_{IU}$$



$RP_{internal}$

def. $RP_{\text{internal}} = \forall \theta \geq \omega_2 \ \forall \text{stationary } S \subset [\theta]^\omega$
 there is W s.t. $|W| = \aleph_1$ and
 $S \cap W \cap [W]^\omega$ is stationary.

(fucking - usuba) RP_{internal} is equivalent to:
 for every cthle. $M \prec (H_\theta; \in, \Delta)$ there is
 C -cofinally many $w \in [H_\theta]^{<\aleph_1}$ s.t.
 letting $M(w) = \text{Hull}(M, \{w\})$ we have
 $M(w) \cap w = M$ (similar to doble-schindler).

thm. 1. assume $V \models PFA^{+\omega_1}$; there is a
 ω_2 strategically closed poset \dot{P} s.t. in
 $V^{\dot{P}}$:

(a) PFA holds

(b) $PFA^{+\omega_1}_{H_{\omega_2}^V \notin IC}$ holds, i.e., $FA^{+\omega_1}(\Gamma)$,
 where Γ is the class of proper posets
 that collapse ω_2 + force $H_{\omega_2}^V \notin IC$.

(c) RP_{IC} fails.

thm. 2. $PFA^{+\omega_1}_{H_{\omega_2}^V \notin IC} \implies RP_{\text{internal}}$.

Proof of th. 1.

$$V \models \text{PFA} \Rightarrow 2^{\aleph_1} = \aleph_2.$$

fix a bijection $\underline{\Phi}: \omega_2 \rightarrow H_{\omega_2}$.

P_{nrIC} = the set of closed, bounded subsets S of ω_2 s.t. when $j \in S_1^2 =$
 $\omega_2 \cap \psi(\omega_1)$ and $\underline{\Phi}''j \in \text{IC}$,
the $S \cap j$ is nonstationary.
order by end-extension.

facts. (1) P is σ -closed.

(2) P is $< \omega_2$ strategically closed.

(3) P forces $\neg R_{P_{\text{IC}}}$.

left to prove:

(a) preserves PFA.

(b) preserves $\text{PFA}^{+\omega_1}_{H_{\omega_2}^V \notin \text{IC}}$.

we prove (b); (a) is similar.

by the lemma on p.1 :

Supp. $P \Vdash "Q"$ is proper + forces $H_{\omega_2}^V \notin IC$ "

then $P * Q$ is proper + forces $H_{\omega_2}^V \notin IC$.

let R be the $P * Q$ name for the poset.

① of lemma satisfied.

② use $j: V \rightarrow N$, $j \upharpoonright H_\theta^V \in N$,
 $|H_\theta^V|^N = \aleph_1$, and N has the same
 $G * H$ that is V -generic +
 $V[G * H]$, N agree about stationarity of
subsets of ω_1 . need to check that
 $j''G$ has a lower bound.
 G

it suff. to show $N \models j(\bar{\Phi}) \upharpoonright_{\omega_2^V} \notin IC$

$H_{\omega_2}^V$

but $H_{\omega_2}^V \notin IC^{V[G * H]}$, this is
upward absolute to N b/c $V[G * H]$
+ N agrees about stat. subsets of ω_1 .
so lemma applies. \dashv

thm 2. $\text{PFA}_{H_{\omega_2}^V \notin \text{IC}}^{+\omega_1} \Rightarrow \text{RP}_{\text{interval}}$.

proof of th. 2 : assume $\text{PFA}_{H_{\omega_2}^V \notin \text{IC}}^{+\omega_1}$.

fix a reg. $\theta \geq \omega_2$.

let $Q = \text{Add}(\omega) * \text{Cor}(\omega_1, H_\theta^V)$

by gitik-relichovic, $\overline{H_{\text{Add}(\omega)}}^{[\omega_2]^\omega} \setminus V$ is stationary

($[\omega_2]^\omega \cap V$ is also stat. by properness)

it follows easily that

$\overline{H_Q}^{H_{\omega_2}^V} \in \text{IS} \setminus \text{IC}$.

so Q is a member of the class of the forcing axiom. by ^a the lemma of woodin, there is stat. max $w \prec (H_{(2^\alpha)^+}; \epsilon, -)$
s.t. $\bar{w} = \gamma \subset w$ thru ex. a (w, α) -gen.

filter s.t. f.o. $\dot{T} \in w$ that max a stat. subset of ω_1 , \dot{T}^g is stationary.

Supp. R is any stat. subset of $[\theta]^\omega$
s.t. $R \in W$.

(we'll show $R \cap W \cap [W]^\omega$ is stationary.)

let $(\dot{N}_i : i < \omega_1)$ be a \mathbb{Q} name for a
filtration of H_G^V . let $\dot{S}_R = \{i : \dot{N}_i \in R\}$.
by properties of \mathbb{Q} , \dot{S}_R is forced to be
stationary. it's also in W .

so \dot{S}_R^δ is stat. in ${}^\omega_1$.

notice: \vdash if $i \in \dot{S}_R$, then $\dot{N}_i \in R \subset V$.

it follows that in the filtration

$((\dot{N}_i)^\delta : i < \omega_1)$ of W , when $i \in \dot{S}_R^\delta$,
then $N_i^\delta \in W \cap R$. \dashv

$RP_{IS} \Rightarrow RP_{IA}$.

Open: is it reversible?