

John.

making Δ_1 determined again

(joint with in doing blue)

I	$x(0)$	$x(2)$...
II	$x(1)$		

we study space of type w_1 .

def. let φ be a func. the cloud func

G_φ is the func

I	$f(0)$		$i < w_1$
II	$f(1)$		

I is iff $\forall \alpha < w_1$

$$(H_{w_1}; f \upharpoonright \alpha \in) \models \varphi(f \upharpoonright \alpha)$$

thm. (necess) let M be a com. fr. model
of ZFC and $\delta \in M$ be s.t.

$M \models "$ δ is a measurable cardinal"

then for any φ , G_φ is determined.

rmk: (necess) one actually needs less.

defn: let φ be a formula. the game G_φ
 $= \{ f \in 2^{\omega_1} : \varphi(f, \omega_1) \}$.

thm. (Woodin) let δ be a ^{Woodin cardinal} ~~measurable cardinal~~ ^{with}
in a lin of Woodin cardinals. then

there is a model $L[A]$ for $A \subset \omega_1$

s.t. if φ is a formula, then
 $G_\varphi^{L[A]}$ is determined $L[A]$.

defn. a game of the form G_φ is

provably Δ_1 if ~~there is~~ φ is Σ_1

and there is a Σ_1 formula ψ s.t.

$$ZFC \vdash G_\psi = \omega_1 \setminus G_\psi .$$

th. assume \exists cm. it. model in a
measur. wood:

all provably Δ_1 genes are definable.

quest: Suppose that all closed genes γ

with ω_1 are definable. are all provably
 Δ_1 -genes definable?

quest: Suppose \exists prov. class of wood's γ
wood's + that closed ω_1 -den. holds in

all gen. extens. does provably Δ_1 -den.
hold (in all γ . ext.)?

the proof of the thm. uses:

thm: ~~Suppose \exists cm. it. model in a~~

~~measur.~~ Suppose \exists prov. class of wood's
cardinals, CH holds, for eg. u.b.

set of reals A then is a "nice" (w.r.t.

A) model with a meager road cardinal.

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ be u.b.

then f.a. gives $\{f \in {}^{\omega}2 : \varphi(f, A)\}$

then is a strategy Σ for I or II

s.t. ~~for~~ every play by σ is

winning in a μ . ext. of M ,

N , s.t. $w_1^N = w_1$, $N \in V$.

proof uses the techniques of neeman heavily (you'll see).

def. $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is u.b. ($A \in \Gamma^\infty$) iff

f.a. \ll the two trees T, S on $\omega \times \lambda$

(some λ) s.t. $\overline{H_{\text{Co}(w, \kappa)}} p[T] = \mathbb{R} \setminus p[S]$,

$A = p[T]$ is V .

def. Let φ be a formula. we write

ZFC $\vDash_{\omega} \varphi$ iff for all g g.c. V ,

$$\forall \kappa [\mathcal{L}_g] \models \text{ZFC} \text{ implies } \forall \kappa [\mathcal{L}_g] \models \varphi.$$

Let A be u.b. a model M of ZFC
is A closed if $\forall \mathcal{P} \in M,$

$g \subset \mathcal{P}$ M - γ . , then $A_g \cap M[\mathcal{L}_g] \in M[\mathcal{L}_g],$
 $\forall A_g = \cup \{ p[\tau]^{V[\mathcal{L}_g]} : p[\tau] = A, \tau \in V \}$

alternatively, if f.a. $b \in M, \tau_A^\infty \cap b \in M,$

$$\forall \tau_A^\infty = \{ (\gamma, p, \sigma) : p \Vdash_{G_{\omega, \gamma}} \sigma \in A_g \}.$$

def. assume there is a proper class of
woodin cardinals. then we write $\text{ZFC} \Vdash_\Omega \varphi$

if there is $A \in \Gamma^\infty$ s.t. for all A -closed

$$M, M \models \text{ZFC} \Vdash_\Omega \varphi.$$

def. a game G_φ is Ω -provably

$\Delta_1(A)$ decidable if $\varphi(A, -)$ is Σ_1 and

there is a Σ_1 truth $\psi(A, -)$ s.t.

$$\text{ZFC} \Vdash_\Omega G_\varphi = \omega_1 \setminus G_\psi.$$

th. Supp. th is a proper class of ^{Wood} cardinals, CH holds, and that f.a.

$A \in \Gamma^\infty$ th is a model M that is A -closed, has a measurable ^{Woodin} cardinal which is c.m. in V , and a strategy $\Sigma \in \mathcal{P}^\infty$

s.t. if $j: M \rightarrow N$ is an i. by

Σ , th

• N is A -closed,

• $j(\tau_A^\infty \cap (V_\kappa)^M) = \tau_A^\infty \cap (V_{j(\kappa)})^N$

f.a. κ

then every Ω -provably $\Delta_1(A)$ is definable,

f.a. $A \in \Gamma^\infty$.

proof sketch:

def. as hypo. of th. we say that

a u.b. set satisfies condensation iff

$(N, \tau) \prec (V_\kappa, \tau_A^\infty)$ for κ inacc.

Let (N^*, τ^*) is the transitive collapse, then $\tau^* = \tau_A^\infty \upharpoonright N^*$.

lem (woodin) (same hypo) let $A \in \Gamma^\infty$ then there is $B \in \Gamma^\infty$ s.t. B satisfies condensation and A is continuously reducible to B .

so may assume A satisfies condensation.

$G_{\mathcal{P}}^{L, A}$

I	$f(0)$...	($i < \omega_1$)
II	$f(1)$...	

player I wins iff there is $k > \omega_1$ and

$$g \in {}^{\omega_1}2 \text{ s.t. } L_k[f][g][\tau_A^\infty] \models ZFC$$

$$\text{and } \left(L_k[f][g][\tau_A^\infty]; f, g, A \cap L_k[f][g][\tau_A^\infty] \right)$$

$\models \mathcal{P}$, where \mathcal{P} is \aleph_1 -provably

$\Delta_1(A)$ as intended by the \mathcal{P} .



lem. TFAE \rightarrow our hypotheses.

• if $ZFC \Vdash_{\Omega} G_{\varphi}^{L,A} = {}^{\omega_1}2 \setminus G_{\varphi}^{L,A}$,
then $G_{\varphi}^{L,A}$ is determined.

• all provably $\Delta_1(A)$ -games are det.

if so, then let $A^* \in \Gamma^{\infty}$ coding A

and a witness for the fact that

$$ZFC \Vdash_{\Omega} G_{\varphi}^{L,A} = {}^{\omega_1}2 \setminus G_{\varphi}^{L,A}.$$

and let M be a model in a mouse
model s.t. M is nice w.r.t. A^* .

apply the 2nd thm (the black box) to
obtain:

Case 1. a strategy for player Γ s.t.

every play by σ is winning in a
gen. extension (in V) of a illu
of M that computes w_1 correctly.

rest of the proof: exercise.