

Groszek and Slaman on Pröbly's problem

Theorem (Groszek-Slaman) Let $W \subset V$ be an inner model, $W \models CH$. Let P be a perfect set. There is then a perfect set $\bar{P} \subset P$ with $\bar{P} \subset V \setminus W$, provided that $(\mathbb{R}_n^V) \setminus W \neq \emptyset$.

We try to present the beautiful proof by Groszek-Slaman, see "A basis theorem for perfect sets," Bull. Symb. Log'c 4 (2), 1998, pp. 204-209.

Proof: We may assume that $w_1^W = w_1^V$, as otherwise W has only countably many reals. This buys us that if $A \subset \mathbb{R}_n^W$ is countable in V , $A \in V$, then there is some $B \supset A$, $B \in W$, $W \models$ "B is countable."

Let us fix a perfect set $P \subset {}^\omega 2$ in V .

Say $P = [T]$, where T is on 2 . We call

$x \in [T]$ eventually trivial iff there is some

$S \subsetneq x$ such that above S , x is the leftmost

or the rightmost branch thru T .

Case 1. There is some $s \in T$ s.t. if $x \in [T_s]$ is not eventually trivial, then $x \in V \setminus W$.

(Here, $[T_s] = \{x : s < x\}$.)

$[T_s]$ has only countably many eventually trivial elements, so that $[T_s]$ has a perfect subset, say \bar{P} , consisting only of elements of $V \setminus W$. But then $\bar{P} \subset [T_s] \subset P$.

The following is then our main case.

Case 2. For all $s \in T$ there is some $x \in [T_s] \cap W$ which is not eventually trivial.

For each $s \in T$, pick $x_s \in [T_s] \cap W$ not eventually trivial. Let $\vec{g} = (g_n : n < \omega) \in W$ be a

sequence of elements of ${}^\omega 2 \cap W$ s.t. $\forall s \in T \exists n < \omega x_s = g_n$.

\vec{g} exists by the covering property from p. 1.

We shall also assume that $g_0 = x_{s_0}$, some $s_0 \in T$.

We shall now fix $\underline{S} \in (\omega_2, V) \setminus W$ and

construct $x, y \in \omega_2$ and subsequences \vec{g}^x, \vec{g}^y

of \vec{g} s.t. $x, y \in [T]$ and

$$(1)^* \quad \underline{S} \leq_T x, \vec{g}^x, \text{ and}$$

$$(2)^* \quad \vec{g}^x, \vec{g}^y \leq_T x, y, \vec{g},$$

so that $\underline{S} \leq_T x, y, \vec{g}$. But then $x \in V \setminus W$ or

$y \in V \setminus W$, and hence P will have a member

in $V \setminus W$.

In a second round we will actually produce a

perfect $\bar{P} \subset P, \bar{P} \subset V \setminus W$.

We call $s \in T$ a splitting node iff $s^0, s^1 \in T$.

We recursively produce strict initial segments

of $x, \vec{g}^x, y, \vec{g}^y$, as follows.

" " " "

$$(\vec{g}_n^x : n < \omega) \quad (\vec{g}_n^y : n < \omega)$$

We start with $\vec{g}_0^x = \vec{g}_0 = \vec{g}_0^y$.

Suppose now we are given $x \uparrow m$, \vec{g}_n^x , $y \uparrow k$,

\vec{g}_n^y such that

(a) $x \uparrow m \subsetneq \vec{g}_n^x$,

(b) $\vec{g}_n^x = x_s$, some $s \in T$,

(c) $y \uparrow k \subsetneq \vec{g}_n^y$, and

(d) $\vec{g}_n^y = x_{s'}$, some $s' \in T$.

(For $n=0$, we may just let $m=0=k$, and then (a) thru (d) will be satisfied.)

Now say $\vec{g}_n^y = \vec{g}_j$. Pick^{*} $m' > m$, k such that

$\vec{g}_l \uparrow m' \neq \vec{g}_j \uparrow m'$, all $l < j$. By (b), we may

also assume that $\vec{g}_n^x \uparrow m'$ is a splitting node

in T and $\vec{g}_n^x(m') \neq \underline{s}(n)$.

Then set

$$x \uparrow m'+1 = \vec{g}_n^x \uparrow m' \cap \underline{s}(n),$$

and pick \vec{g}_{n+1}^x s.t.

* we'll inductively have $m \leq k$.

then is some $s'' \in T$ with $\vec{g}_{n+1}^x = x_{s''}$

and $x \upharpoonright_{m'+1} \subsetneq x_{s''}$.

Say $\vec{g}_{n+1}^x = \vec{g}_i$. Pick $k' > m'+1$

such that $\vec{g}_e \upharpoonright_{k'} \neq \vec{g}_i \upharpoonright_{k'}$, all $k < i$.

By (d), we may also assume that $\vec{g}_n^y \upharpoonright_{k'}$

is a splitting node.

Then set

$$y \upharpoonright_{k'+1} = \vec{g}_n^y \upharpoonright_{k'} \frown (1 - \vec{g}_n^y(k'))$$

and pick \vec{g}_{n+1}^y s.t.

then is some $s''' \in T$ with $\vec{g}_{n+1}^y = x_{s'''}$

and $y \upharpoonright_{k'+1} \subsetneq x_{s'''}$.

We're back to (a) thru (d) with $x \upharpoonright_{m'+1}$,

\vec{g}_{n+1}^x , s'' , $y \upharpoonright_{k'+1}$, \vec{g}_{n+1}^y , s''' replacing $x \upharpoonright_m$,

\vec{g}_n^x , s , $y \upharpoonright_k$, \vec{g}_n^y , s' , resp.

This finishes to construction of $x, \vec{g}^x,$
 y, \vec{g}^y .

For every $n \in \omega,$ $s(n) = 1 - \vec{g}_n^x(m'),$ where
 m' is maximal such that $x \upharpoonright m' = \vec{g}_n^x \upharpoonright m'.$

This shows (1)* on p.3.

To show (2)* on p.3, notice that $\vec{g}_n^y = \vec{g}_j$

for the least j s.t. $y \upharpoonright m' = \vec{g}_j \upharpoonright m',$ where

m' is maximal with $x \upharpoonright m' = \vec{g}_n^x \upharpoonright m';$ also,

$\vec{g}_{n+1}^x = \vec{g}_i$ for the least i s.t. $x \upharpoonright k' = \vec{g}_i \upharpoonright k',$

where k' is maximal with $y \upharpoonright k' = \vec{g}_n^y \upharpoonright k'.$

We have shown that $P \cap (V \setminus W) \neq \emptyset.$

Let us now prove the full theorem, varying
the above argument.

By recursion on the length of $s \in {}^{<\omega}2$ we

construct $x^s, y^s \in T$ and subsequences

$\vec{g}^{x^s}, \vec{g}^{y^s}$ of \vec{g} s.t.

(1) x^{s_0}, x^{s_1} and y^{s_0}, y^{s_1} are incompatible,

(2) $x^s \subsetneq x^{s'}, y^s \subsetneq y^{s'}$ for $s \neq s'$,

(3) $\vec{g}^{x^s}, \vec{g}^{y^s}$ are sequences of elements from \vec{g} , in fact from $\{x_s : s \in T\}$, of length $lh(s)+1$,

(4) $\vec{g}^{x^s} \subsetneq \vec{g}^{x^{s'}}, \vec{g}^{y^s} \subsetneq \vec{g}^{y^{s'}}$ for $s \neq s'$,

and writing $x^z = \bigcup \{x^s : s \in z\}$, $\vec{g}^{x^z} = \bigcup \{\vec{g}^{x^s} : s \in z\}$, $y^z = \bigcup \{y^s : s \in z\}$,

$\vec{g}^{y^z} = \bigcup \{\vec{g}^{y^s} : s \in z\}$ for $z \in \omega_2$,

(5) $\underline{S} \leq_T x^z, \vec{g}^{x^z}$, and
 (6) $\vec{g}^{x^z}, \vec{g}^{y^{z'}} \leq_T x^z, y^{z'}, \vec{g}$ } for all $z, z' \in \omega_2$.

In particular, $\underline{S} \leq_T x^z, y^{z'}, \vec{g}$ for all $z, z' \in \omega_2$.

But then $\{x^z : z \in \omega_2\} \subset V \setminus W$ or

$\{y^z : z \in \omega_2\} \subset V \setminus W$, because if $x^z, y^{z'} \in W$,

we'd have $S \in W$. By (1), both $\{x^z : z \in \omega_2\}$

and $\{y^z : z \in \omega_2\}$ are perfect, so one of them

is a perfect $\bar{P} \subset P$ consisting only of
reals $\in V \setminus W$, as desired.

The construction of $x^s, \vec{g}x^s, y^s, \vec{g}y^s$ is basically
as above, just building in (1).

Suppose we already defined $x^s, \vec{g}x^s, y^s, \vec{g}y^s$
for all $s \in {}^{<\omega}2$ of length $\leq n$. (Again, we
start out with $x^\emptyset = \emptyset = y^\emptyset, \vec{g}x^\emptyset = \langle \vec{g}_0 \rangle = \vec{g}y^\emptyset$.)

Fix s of length n , and let's define $x^{s^{\frown}0},$
 $\vec{g}x^{s^{\frown}0}, x^{s^{\frown}1}, \vec{g}x^{s^{\frown}1}$.

Let $j = \max \{ j : \vec{g}_n^y = \vec{g}_j, \text{lh}(t) = n \}$,

and pick $m' > \max \{ \text{lh}(x^t), \text{lh}(y^t) : \text{lh}(t) = n \}$

s.t. $\vec{g}_e \upharpoonright m' \neq \vec{g}_{e'} \upharpoonright m'$ for all $e, e' \leq j, e \neq e'$

and $m_1 > m_0 \geq m'$ are both s.t. $\vec{g}_n^x \upharpoonright m_0,$

$\vec{g}_n^x \upharpoonright m_1$ are splitting nodes in T and

$\vec{g}_n^x(m_0) \neq \underline{s}(n) \neq \vec{g}_n^x(m_1)$.

Then set

$$x^{s^0} = \vec{g}_n^s \upharpoonright m_0 \wedge \underline{s}(n),$$

$$x^{s^1} = \vec{g}_n^s \upharpoonright m_1 \wedge \underline{s}(n),$$

and pick $\vec{g}_{n+1}^{x^{s^0}}, \vec{g}_{n+1}^{x^{s^1}}$ s.t. there are s'', \bar{s}''

$\in T$ with $x^{s^0} \subsetneq x_{s''} = \vec{g}_{n+1}^{x^{s^0}}, x^{s^1} \subsetneq x_{\bar{s}''} = \vec{g}_{n+1}^{x^{s^1}}$.

This defines all $x^t, \vec{g}_{n+1}^{x^t}, \text{lh}(t) = n+1$.

Again, fix s of length n , and let's define

$$y^{s^0}, \vec{g}_{n+1}^{y^{s^0}}, y^{s^1}, \vec{g}_{n+1}^{y^{s^1}}.$$

Let $i = \max \{ \bar{t} : \vec{g}_{n+1}^{x^{\bar{t}}} = \vec{g}_{\bar{t}}, \text{lh}(\bar{t}) = n+1 \},$

and pick $k' > \max \{ \text{lh}(y^{\bar{t}}), \text{lh}(x^{\bar{t}}) : \text{lh}(\bar{t}) = n+1 \},$

s.t. $\vec{g}_e \upharpoonright k' \neq \vec{g}_{e'} \upharpoonright k'$ for all $e, e' \leq i, e \neq e'$,

and $k_1 > k_0 \geq k'$ are both s.t. $\vec{g}_n^s \upharpoonright m_0,$

$\vec{y}^s \uparrow m$, are splitting nodes in T .

Then set

$$y^{s^0} = \vec{g}_n^s \uparrow k_0 \wedge (1 - \vec{g}_n^s(k_0)),$$

$$y^{s^1} = \vec{g}_n^s \uparrow k_1 \wedge (1 - \vec{g}_n^s(k_1)),$$

and pick $\vec{g}_{n+1}^{y^{s^0}}, \vec{g}_{n+1}^{y^{s^1}}$ s.t. there are s''', \bar{s}'''

$\in T$ with $y^{s^0} \not\subseteq x_{s'''} = \vec{g}_{n+1}^{y^{s^0}}, y^{s^1} \not\subseteq$

~~$x_{\bar{s}'''}$~~ $x_{\bar{s}'''} = \vec{g}_{n+1}^{y^{s^1}}.$

This defines all $y^t, \vec{g}_{n+1}^{y^t}, \text{lh}(t) = n+1.$

This finishes the construction.

The proofs of (5) and (6) on p. 7 are like the proofs of (1)* and (2)* on p. 3.

For each n , $\underline{S}(n) = x^z(m)$, where m is largest s.t. $x^z \uparrow m = \vec{g}_n^{x^z} \uparrow m$ (here, $z \in \omega_2$). This shows (5).

Moreover, $\vec{g}_n^{y^z} = \vec{g}_j$ for the least j

s.t. $y \uparrow m' = \vec{g}_j \uparrow m'$, where m' is maximal

with $x^z \uparrow m' = \vec{g}_n^{x^z} \uparrow m'$; also,

$\vec{g}_{n+1}^{x^z} = \vec{g}_i$ for the least i s.t. $x^z \uparrow h' =$

$\vec{g}_i \uparrow k'$, when k' is maximal with

~~x^z~~ $y^z \uparrow k' = \vec{g}_n^{y^z} \uparrow k'$. This shows (6).