

Sept 21, 2020

Ralf Schindler

The extended algebra for mice without
Woodin cardinals

Let δ be an inaccessible cardinal. We consider
a language \mathcal{L} intended to describe a subset
 A of δ . The atomic formulae of \mathcal{L} are
" $\check{\xi} \in \check{a}$ " for $\xi < \delta$ and a fixed predicate
symbol \check{a} , and we get all the \mathcal{L} -formulae by
closing under \neg and conjunctions \bigwedge of
length $< \delta$.

If Σ is a collection of extenders $E \in V_\delta$,
then we may associate to Σ the following
set of axioms:

$$(A_E) \quad \mathcal{W} i_E(\vec{\varphi}) \upharpoonright \text{lh}(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W} \vec{\varphi}$$

for $E \in \Sigma$, $i_E: V \rightarrow \text{ult}(V; E)$ the ultrapower
embedding, $\text{lh}(E) =$ the length of E , $\vec{\varphi} =$

$(\varphi_i : i < \text{crit}(E)) \in V_{\text{crit}(E)+1}$ a sequence of

\mathcal{L} -formulae. For our purposes, we may identify

the length of E with its strength, i.e., with the largest α s.t. $V_\alpha \subset \text{ult}(V; E)$.

The partial order \leq on \mathcal{L} given by

$$\varphi \leq \psi \text{ iff } \{ (A_E) : E \in \mathcal{E} \} \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi$$

is called the extends algebra. Here, " \vdash " means "provability." Details on this may be found e.g.

in [FSTT'IMTG]. In what follows, we often confuse \mathcal{L} with $\mathcal{L} \setminus \{ \varphi : \{ (A_E) : E \in \mathcal{E} \} \cup \{ \varphi \} \vdash 0=1 \}$.

Let M be a countable active mouse s.t. if

κ denotes the critical point of M 's top measure,

then $M \models \delta$ is a Woodin cardinal." Let $A \subset \delta$,

e.g. $V_\delta = L_\delta[A]$. It is standard to produce an

iteration tree \mathcal{I} on M of length $\delta+1$ s.t.

δ is the critical point of the top measure of $u_\delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ and for every (total) extender E from the sequence of

$u_\delta^{\mathcal{I}} \upharpoonright \delta$ and for all $\vec{\varphi} = (\varphi_i : i < \text{crit}(E)) \in$

$V_{\text{crit}(E)+1}^{u_\delta^{\mathcal{I}}}$, $A \models (A_E)$. As a consequence,

A (or rather $\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{L}^{u_\delta^{\mathcal{I}}} : A \models \varphi \}$) is $u_\delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ -

generic for the extends algebra of $u_\delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ given by

the extenders from the sequence of $u_\delta^{\mathcal{I}} \upharpoonright \delta$.

The picture changes if we start out with a smaller mouse M .

Still, let δ be inaccessible and $E \subset \delta$, e.g. $V_\delta = L_\delta[A]$. Let M be any countable active mouse, and let κ be the critical point of M 's top extender. We may still easily produce an iteration tree \mathcal{I} on M of length $\delta+1$ s.t. if $i: M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ denotes the iteration embedding, then

(a) $\delta^{\mathcal{I}} = i(\kappa)$, and

(b) if E is a (total) extender from the sequence of $\mathcal{M}_\delta^{\mathcal{I}} \upharpoonright \delta$ and if $\vec{\gamma} = (\gamma_i : i < \text{crit}(E)) \in V_{\text{crit}(E)+1}^{\mathcal{M}_\delta^{\mathcal{I}}}$ is a sequence of formals of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{M}_\delta^{\mathcal{I}}}$, then $A \models (A_E)$.

As an immediate consequence,

$$G_A = \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{M}_\delta^{\mathcal{I}}} : A \models \gamma \}$$

has nonempty intersection with any $\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_\delta^{\mathcal{I}} \upharpoonright \delta$ s.t. $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{M}_\delta^{\mathcal{I}}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_\delta^{\mathcal{I}} \models$ " Γ is a maximal antichain": if Γ were a counterexample, then

$\{M \models \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{L}\}$ and $\Gamma \cup \{M \models \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{L}\}$ would

also be an antichain.

If in addition $M \models \kappa$ is a Woodin cardinal, then we are back to the situation on p.2, as we may then prove that if

$\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_\delta^\perp$, $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{M}_\delta^\perp}$, $\mathcal{M}_\delta^\perp \models \text{"}\Gamma \text{ is a maximal antichain, "}$ then $\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_\delta^\perp / \delta$. But we may

now easily generalize this as follows.

Let $T \subset V_\delta$. $\lambda < \delta$ is called T-strong

up to δ iff f.a. $\alpha < \delta$ there is some $E \in V_\delta$ with $\text{crit}(E) = \lambda$ and $V_\alpha \subset \text{wt}(V; E)$ and

$i_E(T) \cap V_\alpha = T \cap V_\alpha$. Let $T^* \subset V_{\delta+1}$.

δ is called T*-Woodin iff for all $T \in T^*$ there is some $\kappa < \delta$ which is T-strong up to δ .

Hence δ is Woodin iff δ is $V_{\delta+1}$ -Woodin.

We call δ definably Woodin iff δ is $\text{Def}(V_\delta)$ -Woodin, where $\text{Def}(V_\delta)$ denotes the collection of subsets of V_δ which are definable over $(V_\delta; \in)$

via a first order formula and parameters from V_δ .

The above considerations produced the following.

Lemma 1. If $M \models \text{"}\kappa \text{ is definably Woodin,}"$ then A (or rather G_A) is $\text{Def}(M_\delta^I / \delta)$ -generic over M_δ^I .

This is a reformulation of Theorem 2 of [CTU] which clarifies the connection of the forcing \mathbb{Q} as being defined on p.15 of [CTU] with the extendible algebra. The mouse mm ("mighty mouse") as being defined on p.7 of [CTU] may in our framework be characterized as follows.

Lemma 2. mm is the least active mouse M s.t. if κ denotes the critical point of M 's top extender, then $M \models \text{"}\kappa \text{ is definably Woodin.}"$

Lemma 2 will immediately follow from Lemma 3. In order to state this, let us streamline

Let $\alpha < \delta$ be a limit cardinal, $\lambda < \alpha$,
 s.t. $\{\eta < \alpha : \eta \text{ is } \delta_{\eta, (n-1)}\text{-strong}^*\}$ is
 unbounded in α , and let $i: V \rightarrow \text{ult}(V; E)$
 be s.t. $\text{crit}(E) = \lambda$, $V_\alpha \subset \text{ult}(V; E)$, and
 for all $\eta < \alpha$, η is $\delta_{\eta, (n-1)}\text{-strong}^*$ iff
 $\text{ult}(V; E) \models \text{"}\eta \text{ is } \delta_{\eta, (n-1)}\text{-strong}^*\text{"}$.

Let $x \in A \cap V_\alpha$. Let $\text{rk}_E(x) < \eta < \alpha$ be
 $\delta_{\eta, (n-1)}\text{-strong}$. Let $y_0 \in V_\delta$ be s.t.
 $V_\delta \models \varphi(y_0, x, z)$. By the induction hypothesis,
 there is a suff. strong extender F with $\text{crit}(F) = \eta$
 s.t. if $j: V \rightarrow \text{ult}(V; F)$, then $y_0 \in \text{ult}(V; F)$,
~~and~~ $\text{ult}(V; F) \models \varphi(y_0, x, z)$, and $\text{rk}(y_0) < j(\eta)$.
 By elementarity, there is then some $y_1 \in V_\eta \subset V_\alpha$
 s.t. $V_\delta \models \varphi(y_1, x, z)$. But then
 $\text{ult}(V_\delta; E) \models \varphi(y_1, x, z)$ by the inductive hypothesis,
 so that $\text{ult}(V_\delta; E) \models \exists y \varphi(y, x, z)$.

This argument shows that $A \cap V_\alpha = i(A) \cap V_\alpha$.
 \rightarrow (Lemma 3)

References.

[CTU] S. Friedman, Capturing the universe,
preprint, Aug 28, 2020.

[FSTTIMG] R. Schindler, From set theoretic
to one model theoretic geology, to appear
in "Research trends in Contemp. logic"
(A. Daghighi, ed.).