## SACKS FORCING PRESERVES SELECTIVE ULTRAFILTERS

## RALF SCHINDLER

Theorem 0.2 for n = 1 is a well-known result of (in the words of [1, p. 562]) "R. Solovay, and possibly others," and the general case is [1, Theorem 6].

**Lemma 0.1.** Let U be a selective ultrafilter on  $\omega$  and let  $D \subset {}^{<\omega}\omega$  and  $(X_s : s \in D)$  be such that (1)  $\emptyset \in D$ ,

(2) for all  $s \in D$ , s is strictly increasing and  $X_s \in U$ , and

(3) for all  $s \in D$  and for all  $m \in X_s$ ,  $s \frown m \in D$ .

There is then some  $Y \in U$  such that if  $x: \omega \to Y$  is the monotone enumeration of Y, then for every  $k < \omega, x \upharpoonright k \in D$  and  $x(k) \in X_{x \upharpoonright k}$ .

*Proof.* This is basically [2, Problem 9.3.(b)]. There is some  $f: \omega \to \omega$  and some  $Z \in U$  such that

(1) 
$$Z \setminus f(n) \subset \bigcap \{X_s \colon s \in {}^{<\omega}n \cap D\}.$$

(See [2, Problem 9.1].) We may assume that f is strictly increasing, and write  $b_n = f^n(0), n < \omega$ . Let  $Z' \in U$  be such that  $Z' \cap [b_n, b_{n+1})$  is a singleton for each  $n < \omega$ , and let  $Z^*$  be either  $Z' \cap \bigcup \{ [b_{2n}, b_{2n+1}) : n < \omega \}$  or  $Z' \cap \bigcup \{ [b_{2n+1}, b_{2n+2}) : n < \omega \}$ , depending on which one of these two sets is in U. Write  $Y = Z \cap Z^* \cap X_{\emptyset}$ . (Cf. [2, Problem 9.3.(a)].)

Let  $x: \omega \to Y$  be the monotone enumeration of Y. Let  $k < \omega$  be such that  $x \upharpoonright k \in D$ . Say  $b_n \leq x(k) < b_{n+1}$ . Then  $x \upharpoonright k \in {}^{<\omega}b_{n-1}$  (or k = 0 and  $x \upharpoonright k = \emptyset$ ), so that  $x(k) \in Z \setminus b_n = Z \setminus f(b_{n-1}) \subset X_{x \upharpoonright k}$  by (1) and  $x \upharpoonright k + 1 \in D$  (or by  $x(0) \in X_{\emptyset}$ ).

**Theorem 0.2.** Let U be a selective ultrafilter on  $\omega$ , let  $1 \leq n < \omega$ , and let  $\mathbb{S}_n$  be the product of n Sacks forcings. Let g be  $\mathbb{S}_n$ -generic over V, and let  $U' = \{x \in \mathscr{P}(\omega) \cap V[g] : \exists y \in U \ y \subset x\}$ . Then U' is an ultrafilter in V[g].

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \mathbb{S}_n$ ,  $\tau \in V^{\mathbb{S}_n}$ , and  $p \Vdash \tau \subset \omega$ . Suppose that  $p \Vdash \forall x \in U x \cap \tau \neq \emptyset$ . We aim to construct some  $q \leq p$  and some  $x \in U$  with  $q \Vdash x \subset \tau$ .

Let us construct  $D \subset {}^{<\omega}\omega$ ,  $(p_s : s \in D)$ , and  $(X_s : s \in D)$ .

Set  $p_{\emptyset} = p$ .

Suppose that  $s \in D$  and  $p_s$  has been constructed, and let  $m = \ln(s)$ .

Let us write  $p_s = (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ . Let  $(\vec{t}_i : i < 2^{m+n})$  enumerate all  $\vec{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$  such that each  $t_l$  is an  $m^{\text{th}}$  branching node of  $T_l$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq n$ . For each  $i < 2^{m+n}$  and each l,  $1 \leq l \leq n$ , pick an extension s(i,l) of  $t_l$  (where  $\vec{t}_i = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ ) in such a way that if  $i \neq i'$ , then s(i,l) and s(i',l) are incompatible in  $T_l$ . For  $i < 2^{m+n}$  write  $(p_s)_i$  for  $((T_1)_{s(i,1)}, \ldots, (T_n)_{s(i,n)})$ .

Let  $i < 2^{m+n}$ . By hypothesis,

 $x^{i} = \{k < \omega : \exists q \le (p_{s})_{i} q \Vdash k \in \tau\} \in U,$ 

as otherwise  $\{k < \omega : (p_s)_i \Vdash k \notin \tau\} \in U$ , but  $(p_s)_i \leq p$ . Let

$$X_s = \bigcap \{x^i : i < 2^{m+n}\} \in U.$$

Let  $k \in X_s$  be bigger than all the natural numbers from the sequence s. Exactly in this case we will put  $s \cap k$  into D. For each  $i < 2^{m+n}$  pick  $q = q_i^s \leq (p_s)_i$  such that  $q \Vdash k \in \tau$ ; writing  $q_i^s = (T_1^i, \ldots, T_n^i)$ , we let

$$p_{s \frown k} = (\bigcup \{T_1^i : i < 2^{m+n}\}, \dots, \bigcup \{T_n^i : i < 2^{m+n}\}).$$

We will have that  $p_{s \frown k} \in \mathbb{S}_n$ ,  $p_{s \frown k} \leq p_s$ , and  $\bigcup \{T_l^i : i < 2^{m+n}\}$  and  $T_l$  have the same  $m^{\text{th}}$  branching nodes. Also,  $p_{s \frown k} \Vdash k \in \tau$ .

We have defined  $D \subset {}^{<\omega}\omega$ ,  $(p_s : s \in D)$ , and  $(X_s : s \in D)$ .

## RALF SCHINDLER

By the above Lemma, there is some  $Y \in U$  such that if  $x : \omega \to Y$  is the monotone enumeration of Y, then for every  $k < \omega$ ,  $x \upharpoonright k \in D$  and  $x(k) \in X_{s \upharpoonright k}$ . For  $k < \omega$ , write  $p_{x \upharpoonright k} = (T_1^k, \ldots, T_n^k)$ . Let

et

$$q = (\bigcap \{T_1^k : k < \omega\}, \dots, \bigcap \{T_n^k : k < \omega\}).$$

Then  $q \in \mathbb{S}_n$  and  $q \leq p$ . Also,  $q \Vdash x(k) \in \tau$  for every  $k < \omega$ , in other words,  $q \Vdash Y \subset \tau$ .  $\Box$ 

## References

 Pincus, David, and Halpern, J. D. (1981), "Partitions of products", Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 267 (2): 549568

[2] Schindler, R., Set theory. Exploring independence and truth.

Ralf Schindler, Institut für Matematische Logik und Grundlagenforschung, Universität Münster, Einsteinstrasse 62, 48149 Münster, Germany

*E-mail address*: rds@wwu.de